United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

_				··					
Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge				I. Coar	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge				
CASE NUMBER			00 C	7912	DATE	February 22,	2001		
CASE TITLE				Gordon v. Trace Ambulance					
	мот	ion:	[In the following box (a nature of the motion bei		g the motion, e.g., plaintiff, d	efendant, 3rd party plaintiff,	and (b) state briefly the		
				·					
L	DOCKET ENTRY:								
ſ	(1)	☐ Filed motion of [use listing in "Motion" box above.]							
	(2)	□ Brie	Brief in support of motion due						
ļ	(3)	Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due							
	(4)	☐ Ruling/Hearing on set for at							
	(5)	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at							
	(6)	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at				,			
(7)			l[set for/re-set for] on	at					
	(8) [Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing]			held/continued to at					
	(9)	☐ This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] ☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ General Rule 21 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).							
	[Other docket entry] For the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum Opinion and Order, Defendant's motion to dismiss [Doc. 3-1] is denied to the extent that the entire action will not be stricken; however, defendant's motion is granted to the extent that the class action component of this soft is hereby stricken. Ruling date of February 27, 2001 is stricken.								
(11) [For further detail see order (on reverse side of/attached to) the original minute order.]									
		•	d, advised in open court.				Document Number		
		No notices require				number of notices			
	Notices mailed by judge's staff. Notified counsel by telephone.				FEB 2 3 2001				
X Docketing to mail noti		Docketing to mail	notices.			15	1		
Mail AO 450 form. Copy to judge/magistrate judge.		Mail AO 450 form		(D	, , .	docketing deputy initials			
		gistrate judge.		TO AN ELLING		100			
		dc(lc)	courtroom deputy's initials	- Action Control	FEB 22 FM 6: 01	date mailed notice			
					ne received in Clerk's Office	mailing deputy initials			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

3

DOCK		E
Mass.	_	SUUI
FEB 2	, 3	[00.

GAYLE M. GORDON, individually and on behalf of a class of similarly situated employees,))))
Plaintiffs,)
,) No. 00 C 7912
v.)
) HONORABLE DAVID H. COAR
TRACE AMBULANCE, INC. and)
BLACKHAWK MEDICAL)
TRANSPORTATION, INC., Illinois)
corporations,)
)
Defendants.)

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Gayle M. Gordon ("Gordon") brings this action on behalf of herself and a class of similarly situated employees (collectively, "Plaintiffs") of Trace Ambulance, Inc. and Blackhawk Medical Transportation, Inc. ("Defendants"). Gordon alleges that the defendants failed to provide her and the class of employees on whose behalf she brings suit overtime compensation for the hours worked in excess of the 40 hours per workweek during the period March 26, 2000 through July 22, 2000, in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 207(a). Before this court is Defendant's motion to dismiss. For the reasons discussed below, the motion is granted in part and denied in part.

In a Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) class action, once a class is certified, class members are deemed parties to the action unless they elect to opt out upon receiving notice of the action. Fed.



R. Civ. P. 23(b). The instant claim for overtime compensation, however, is governed by §16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), which sets forth a different standard for maintaining class action suits. Section 16(b), which provides a remedy against employers who fail to pay minimum wage of overtime compensation, reads in relevant part:

Action to recover such liability may be maintained against any employer . . . in any Federal or State court of competent jurisdiction by any one or more employees for and on behalf of himself or themselves and other employees similarly situated. No employee shall be a party plaintiff to any such action unless he gives his consent in writing to become such a party and such consent is filed in the court in which such action is brought.

29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Accordingly, no individual in a FLSA suit can become a party plaintiff or be bound by a judgment unless she opts into the class by filing a written consent. Lombardi v. Alternose Constr. Co., Inc., 69 F.R.D. 410, 411 (E.D. Pa. 1975).

Thus, whereas Rule 23 authorizes a class action upon the certification of a class until presumptive class members opt out, § 16(b) bars the maintenance of a class action unless and until individuals affirmatively opt in. Allen v. Marshal Field & Co., 93 F.R.D. 438, 441 (N.D. III. 1982). In examining the requirements articulated in Rule 23 and §16(b), the courts have concluded that "[t]here is a fundamental, irreconcilable difference between the class action described in Rule 23 and that provided for by FLSA §16(b). . . . It is crystal clear that § 16(b) precludes pure Rule 23 class actions in FLSA suits." Groshek v. Babcock and Wilcox Tubular Products Division, 425 F. Supp. 232, 233 (E.D. Wis. 1977) (quoting LaChapelle v. Owens-Illinois, Inc., 513 F.2d 286, 288 (5th Cir. 1975)).

Thirteen months have passed since Gordon filed her purported class action suit. No consents have been filed with this court, other than Gordon's. Section 16(b), however, requires

that individuals not named in the complaint file written consent forms to become party plaintiffs. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Without these written consents, Gordon is prohibited from maintaining and prosecuting this action on behalf of a class of similarly situated employees. See Groshek, 425 F. Supp. at 233 (observing that plaintiff in FLSA claim could not bring class action without written consents from coemployees); Lombardi, 69 F.R.D. 411 (denying plaintiff's motion to maintain class action because no consents filed with court). Although Gordon cannot maintain this suit as a representative of a class, she may continue to prosecute this suit as an individual action. 425 F. Supp. at 233; Wallace v. Water Tank Serv. Co., 256 F. Supp. 689, 690 (W.D. Okl. 1966).

Defendant's motion to dismiss is denied to the extent that the entire action will not be stricken; however, defendant's motion is granted to the extent that the class action component of this suit is hereby stricken.

Enter:

David H. Coar

United States District Judge

Dated:

;

February 22, 2001

To maintain a class action suit, plaintiff may be able to amend her complaint by filing the required written consent forms before the statute of limitations runs. The applicable statute of limitations period is two years after the cause of action accrues. See 29 U.S.C. §§ 255(a) (setting forth two year limitations period), 256 (delineating time that action is deemed to have commenced).